



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,200	03/22/2006	Se Hwan Yang	58049-00025	4449
35736	7550	04/29/2009	EXAMINER	
JHK LAW	WANG, CHANG YU			
P.O. BOX 1078	ART UNIT			
LA CANADA, CA 91012-1078	PAPER NUMBER			
			1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/595,200

Applicant(s)

YANG ET AL.

Examiner

Chang-Yu Wang

Art Unit

1649

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chang-Yu Wang.(3) Joseph H. Kim.(2) Christine Saoud.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant presented Mizuguchi et al. Mol. Therapy (a reference that is not listed in IDS) to argue against the 103 rejection and to show that the claimed product is not obvious.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Discussed whether amended claims overcame the issue of a potential ODP rejection. 2. Discussed whether the claimed product is obvious over the prior art. 3. discussed possible claim language to overcome the 103 rejection or Applicant would consider submission of unexpected results.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. Y. W./
Examiner, Art Unit 1649

/Christine J Saoud/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647